2625 Patent

Attorney Docket No. _

MAR 1 6 2005

In re Patent Application of

Jerker Bergenek et al.

Application No.: 09/842,672

Filing Date:

April 27, 2001

Title: CHECK FOR FINGERPRINTS

Group Art Unit: 2625

Examiner: SHEELA C CHAWAN

Confirmation No.: 9036

AMENDMENT/REPLY TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Sir:

| Enc | losed is a reply for the above-identified patent application. |
|-----|---|
| | A Petition for Extension of Time is also enclosed. |
| | Terminal Disclaimer(s) and the \$\infty\$\$ \$65.00 (2814) \$\infty\$\$ \$130.00 (1814) fee per Disclaimer due under 37 C.F.R. § 1.20(d) are also enclosed. |
| | Also enclosed is/are |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | Small entity status is hereby claimed. |
| | Applicant(s) requests continued examination under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 and enclose the \$395.00 (2801) \$790.00 (1801) fee due under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(e). |
| | Applicant(s) requests that any previously unentered after final amendments <u>not</u> be entered. Continued examination is requested based on the enclosed documents identified above. |
| | Applicant(s) previously submitted |
| | |
| | on, for which continued examination is requested. |
| | Applicant(s) requests suspension of action by the Office until at least, which does not exceed three months from the filing of this RCE, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.103(c). The required fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i) is enclosed. |
| | A Request for Entry and Consideration of Submission under 37 C.F.R. § 1.129(a) (1809/2809) is also enclosed. |

| Attorney Docket No. | 003300-777 |
|---------------------|------------|
| Application No. | 09/842,672 |

No additional claim fee is required.An additional claim fee is required, and is calculated as shown below.

| | No. of Claims | Highest of Clai Previou Paid F | ms sly | Extra Claims | | Rate | Additional Fee |
|---|------------------|---|-----------|---------------|---|-------------------|----------------|
| Total Claims | | MINUS | 11 | 0 | × | \$50.00 (1202) = | \$ 0.00 |
| Independent Claims | | MINUS | = | 0 | × | \$200.00 (1201) = | \$ 0.00 |
| If Amendment adds n | nultiple depen | dent claims, | add \$ | 360.00 (1203) | | | |
| Total Claim Amendment Fee | | | | \$ 0.00 | | | |
| ☐ Small Entity Status claimed - subtract 50% of Total Claim Amendment Fee | | | | \$ 0.00 | | | |
| TOTAL ADDITIONAL CLAIM FEE DUE FOR THIS AMENDMENT | | | | \$ 0.00 | | | |

| A check | in the amount of | is enclosed for the fee due |
|---------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Charge | to Deposit Acc | ount No. 02-4800. |
| Charge | to credit card. | Form PTO-2038 is attached. |

The Director is hereby authorized to charge any appropriate fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16, 1.17, 1.20(d) and 1.21 that may be required by this paper, and to credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 02-4800. This paper is submitted in duplicate.

Respectfully submitted,

BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.

P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404 (703) 836-6620

Date: March 16, 2005

Charles F. Wieland III Registration No. 33,096



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| In re Patent Application of | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Jerker Bergenek et al. | Group Art Unit: 2625 |
| Application No.: 09/842,672 |) Examiner: SHEELA C CHAWAN |
| Filed: April 27, 2001 | Confirmation No.: 9036 |
| For: CHECK FOR FINGERPRINTS |)) |

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In reply to the Office Action dated January 11, 2005, applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the above-captioned application.

The Office Action includes a rejection of claims 1, 3-14 and 16-25 under 35 U.S.C. §103 as allegedly being unpatentable over the Russo et al patent (U.S. Patent No. 6,535,622) in view of the Abtahi et al patent (U.S. Patent No. 5,509,083). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

In characterizing the Russo et al patent, the Office suggests that it discloses evaluating whether a recorded fingerprint originates from a latent fingerprint on a sensor or from a finger placed on the sensor on the basis of the location of the recorded fingerprint on the sensor. The Office also suggests that the Russo et al patent is silent as to the specific details of the sensor and particularly whether it has an integral coordinate system.